

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/978,200	10/17/2001	Paul Neil Fahn	06944.0049	4160
27155	7590 07/28/2005		EXAM	INER
MCCARTHY TETRAULT LLP			KHOSHNOODI, NADIA	
	, P.O. BOX 48		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
66 WELLINGTON ST. WEST TORONTO, ON M5K 1E6			2133	
CANADA				

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del>~</del>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	09/978,200	FAHN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nadia Khoshnoodi	2133			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	october 2001.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•	· ·			
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/5-15-2003.	🗂	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## .

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because Figures 3-7 are informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

The specification is objected due to minor informalities: on page 8, line 15 of the specification, element 26 is described as identifying information, whereas on page 6, lines 15-16 element 26 is described as desktop clients. The same numeral cannot be used to describe two different entities regarding the figures.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-3 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 2-3:

These claims recite the limitation "said directory" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. A database has been previously introduced in the parent claim. In order to further treat these claims on their merits it is presumed that applicants intended to refer to the database instead of the directory.

As per claims 17-18:

These claims recite the limitation "said bit string" in lines 1 (for claim 17) and 2 (for claim 18). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. A string has been previously introduced in the parent claim. In order to further treat these claims on their merits it is presumed that applicants intended to refer to the string instead of the bit string.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

I. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

II. Claims 1, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, as they do not fall under any of the statutory classes of inventions. The language in the claims raise an issue because the claims are directed merely to an abstract idea that is not necessarily tied to an article of manufacture which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,200

Art Unit: 2133

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- III. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- IV. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asay et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,903,882.

As per claim 1:

Asay et al. substantially teach a method of allocating an address to a certificate to be stored in an addressable database for subsequent retrieval, said method comprising the steps of generating a string for use as a certificate locator from information contained in a certificate request (col. 18, lines 12-20). Not explicitly disclosed is utilizing said string to obtain said address. However, Asay et al. teach that the identifier is used in order to gain access to the primary certificate, where the primary certificate then contains information regarding the reliance server's address containing validity information for the primary certificate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to directly obtain the address of the certificate in the reliance server by using the generated string. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 18, lines 21-32.

As per claim 2:

Application/Control Number: 09/978,200

Page 5

Art Unit: 2133

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 1. Not explicitly disclosed is the method wherein said string is mapped to an address in a directory. However, Asay et al. teach that the identifier for the primary certificate is the string used in order to gain access to the information of the primary certificate which includes an address for the reliance server which contains further identification information for the primary certificate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to directly map the identifier used to obtain the primary certificate to the address of the reliance server. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 18, lines 19-28.

## As per claim 3:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 1. Furthermore, Asay et al. teach wherein said string is used as said address in said directory (col. 18, lines 19-25).

As per claim 4:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 1. Not explicitly disclosed is the method wherein a mathematical function is applied to said information to obtain said string. However, Asay et al. teach that the identifier of the primary certificate contains the subscriber's public key, which is derived from some type of mathematical function that has been applied to information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to have a predetermined mathematical function applied to the information in order to obtain the string. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the

invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 18, lines 19-20.

As per claim 5:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 4. Not explicitly disclosed is wherein said mathematical function is a hash function. However, Asay et al. teach that the subscriber's public key can also be used as the identifier for a certificate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to compute the string by applying a cryptographic hash function to at least part of the request. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 1, lines 45-52 and col. 36, lines 22-29.

As per claim 6:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 5. Not explicitly disclosed is wherein said string is a portion of the output of said hash function. However, Asay et al. teach that the subscriber's public key can also be used as the identifier for a certificate. Furthermore, the value of the public key can be a portion of what the hash function outputs, depending on the algorithm. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to compute the string by applying a cryptographic hash function to at least part of the request. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 1, lines 45-52 and

col. 36, lines 22-29.

As per claim 7:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method of identifying an address of a certificate to a recipient of a signed message in a data communication system, said method comprising the steps of preparing a set of information for inclusion in a certificate request (col. 18, lines 16-55), generating from said set of information a string for use as a certificate locator in a database (col. 18, lines 19-20). Not explicitly disclosed is forwarding said string to said recipient to indicate the location of said certificate in said database. However, Asay et al. teach that the identifier for the primary certificate is the string used in order to gain access to the information of the primary certificate which then allows information regarding the address for the reliance server which contains further identification information for the primary certificate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to forward the reliance server database's address to the recipient to indicate the location of the certificate in that database allowing access to further identification information. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 18, lines 19-45.

As per claim 8:

Asay et al. teach the method according to claim 7. Not explicitly disclosed by Asay et al. is wherein said information includes a time varying element. However, Asay et al. teach that the primary certificate has a field which verifies whether or not the certificate is valid based on time constraints. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to include the time-varying element in the information that is ultimately used in order to generate the string identifier. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 5, lines 44-51 and fig. 1, element "validity period."

As per claim 9:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 7. Not explicitly disclosed is the method wherein a predetermined mathematical function is applied to said information to obtain said string. However, Asay et al. teach that the identifier of the primary certificate contains the subscriber's public key, which is derived from some type of mathematical function that has been applied to information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to have a predetermined mathematical function applied to the information in order to obtain the string. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 18, lines 19-20.

As per claim 10:

Asay et al. substantially teach a method for maintaining certificates in a public key infrastructure having a certification authority and a pair of correspondents, said method comprising the steps of collating at one of said correspondents information comprising storing the certificate authority's certificate information in the certificate (col. 11, lines 15-42), computing from said information comprising said request a string for use as a certificate locator

Application/Control Number: 09/978,200 Page 9

Art Unit: 2133

by said one correspondent and said certification authority (col. 12, lines 16-39 and col. 14, lines 16-34), storing a certificate issued from said request in a directory at an address obtained from said string and forwarding said locator from said one correspondent to another permit retrieval of said certificate from said directory (col. 14, lines 27-42).

Not explicitly disclosed is the method comprising a request for a certificate of said certification authority, forwarding said request to said certification authority. However, Asay et al. teach that the certificate authority's certificate information can be included in the primary certificate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to request that information from the certificate authority thereby forwarding the request for that certificate information to the CA. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 5, lines 2-11.

## As per claim 11:

Asay et al. teach the method according to claim 10. Not explicitly disclosed by Asay et al. is wherein said information includes a time varying element. However, Asay et al. teach that the primary certificate has a field which verifies whether or not the certificate is valid based on time constraints. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to include the time-varying element in the information that is ultimately used in order to generate the string identifier. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the

time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 5, lines 44-51 and fig. 1, element "validity period."

Page 10

### As per claim 12:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 10. Not explicitly disclosed is wherein communication between said one correspondent and said certification authority is performed over a secure channel. However, Asay et al. teach the use of encrypting certain data in the certificate in order for that data to remain confidential when being transmitted.

Furthermore, it is well known that the secure channel is used in order to encrypt data when being transmitted for that same purpose. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. for the communication to occur over a secure channel so that no information, especially sensitive information that can be found in the certificates, is compromised. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 11, lines 38-42.

#### As per claim 13:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 10. Not explicitly disclosed is wherein said other correspondent obtains an address of said certificate from a known address of said directory and said string. However, Asay et al teach that in order to gain access to the primary certificate, a certificate identifier must be used. Furthermore, Asay et al. teach that once that primary certificate is found, it contains an address to the reliance server which is used for the secondary certificates. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 09/978,200 Page 11

Art Unit: 2133

the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to obtain an address of the second certificate by using the string to access the location of the primary certificate in the directory. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 18, lines 16-45.

As per claim 14:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 10. Not explicitly disclosed is the method wherein said other correspondent forwards said locator to said certification authority for construction of said address. However, Asay et al. teach that there can be different reliance servers that maintain further identification information in order to allow issuance of a second certificate based on the primary certificate issued, where the location of the reliance server must be apparent in the primary certificate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. for the correspondent to forward the locator to the certificate authority in order to construct the address. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 18, lines 25-45.

As per claim 15:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 10. Not explicitly disclosed is wherein said string is computed by application of a cryptographic hash function at least part of said request. However, Asay et al. teach that the subscriber's public key can also be used as the identifier for a certificate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 09/978,200

Art Unit: 2133

time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to compute the string by applying a cryptographic hash function to at least part of the request. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 36, lines 22-29.

#### As per claim 16:

Asay et al. teach the method according to claim 15. Not explicitly disclosed by Asay et al. is wherein said information includes a time varying element. However, Asay et al. teach that the primary certificate has a field which verifies whether or not the certificate is valid based on time constraints. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to include the time-varying element in the information that is ultimately used in order to generate the string identifier. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 5, lines 44-51 and fig. 1, element "validity period."

#### As per claim 17:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 15. Not explicitly disclosed is wherein said string is a portion of the output of said hash function is used as the string.

However, Asay et al. teach that the subscriber's public key can also be used as the identifier for a certificate. Furthermore, the value of the public key can be a portion of what the hash function outputs, depending on the algorithm. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. to compute the

string by applying a cryptographic hash function to at least part of the request. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 1, lines 45-52 and col. 36, lines 22-29.

As per claim 18:

Asay et al. substantially teach the method according to claim 10. Not explicitly disclosed is wherein said bit string is utilized as a pointer to an address in a directory. However, Asay et al. teach that the primary certificate can hold a pointer to the address of the reliance server where the second certificate is maintained. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed in Asay et al. for the string to be utilized as a pointer to an address in a directory. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so since it is suggested by Asay et al. in col. 18, lines 25-28.

\*References Cited, Not Used

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. US Patent No. 5,922,074
- 2. US Patent No. 6,823,454
- 3. US Patent No. 6,795,920
- 4. US Pub. No. 2001/0016851
- 5. US Pub. No. 2004/0054890

The above references have been cited because they are relevant due to the manner in which the invention has been claimed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nadia Khoshnoodi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3825. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nadia Khoshnoodi

Examiner

Art Unit 2133

7/22/2005

NK

GUY LAMARRE DEBLARY FXAMINER

adia Khashwood